

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281683198>

Collagen peptide supplementation in combination with resistance training improves body composition and increases muscle strength in elderly sarcopenic men: A randomised controlled...

Article in *The British journal of nutrition* · September 2015

DOI: 10.1017/S0007114515002810

CITATIONS

56

READS

1,244

5 authors, including:



Denise Zdzieblik

University of Freiburg

18 PUBLICATIONS 143 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Albert Gollhofer

University of Freiburg

440 PUBLICATIONS 8,275 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Daniel König

University Medical Center Freiburg

216 PUBLICATIONS 3,010 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



influence of [View project](#)



Gravity and the central nervous system [View project](#)

Collagen peptide supplementation in combination with resistance training improves body composition and increases muscle strength in elderly sarcopenic men: a randomised controlled trial

Denise Zdzieblik¹, Steffen Oesser², Manfred W. Baumstark³, Albert Gollhofer¹ and Daniel König^{1,3*}

¹Department for Nutrition, Institute for Sports and Sports Science, University of Freiburg, Freiburg 79117, Germany

²CRI, Collagen Research Institute GmbH, Kiel 24118, Germany

³Department of Rehabilitation, Prevention and Sports Medicine, Centre for Internal Medicine, University Hospital Freiburg, 79106 Freiburg, Germany

(Submitted 22 February 2015 – Final revision received 22 June 2015 – Accepted 29 June 2015)

Abstract

Protein supplementation in combination with resistance training may increase muscle mass and muscle strength in elderly subjects. The objective of this study was to assess the influence of post-exercise protein supplementation with collagen peptides *v.* placebo on muscle mass and muscle function following resistance training in elderly subjects with sarcopenia. A total of fifty-three male subjects (72.2 (SD 4.68) years) with sarcopenia (class I or II) completed this randomised double-blind placebo-controlled study. All the participants underwent a 12-week guided resistance training programme (three sessions per week) and were supplemented with either collagen peptides (treatment group (TG)) (15 g/d) or silica as placebo (placebo group (PG)). Fat-free mass (FFM), fat mass (FM) and bone mass (BM) were measured before and after the intervention using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Isokinetic quadriceps strength (IQS) of the right leg was determined and sensory motor control (SMC) was investigated by a standardised one-leg stabilisation test. Following the training programme, all the subjects showed significantly higher ($P < 0.01$) levels for FFM, BM, IQS and SMC with significantly lower ($P < 0.01$) levels for FM. The effect was significantly more pronounced in subjects receiving collagen peptides: FFM (TG +4.2 (SD 2.31) kg/PG +2.9 (SD 1.84) kg; $P < 0.05$); IQS (TG +16.5 (SD 12.9) Nm/PG +7.3 (SD 13.2) Nm; $P < 0.05$); and FM (TG -5.4 (SD 3.17) kg/PG -3.5 (SD 2.16) kg; $P < 0.05$). Our data demonstrate that compared with placebo, collagen peptide supplementation in combination with resistance training further improved body composition by increasing FFM, muscle strength and the loss in FM.

Key words: Sarcopenia; Collagen hydrolysate; Collagen peptides; Resistance exercise; Ageing; Protein supplementation

In general, ageing is associated with a decline in motor function, muscle mass and a decrease in muscular performance^(1,2). The definition of sarcopenia includes both an age-related decline in muscle mass and a reduction in functional muscular performance. Sarcopenia is associated with an increased risk for falls and an overall prevalence for frailty^(3,4). Several investigations have shown that the onset of sarcopenia can be postponed and the progress decelerated by regular physical activity, mainly resistance exercise⁽⁵⁻⁷⁾. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that additional dietary proteins enhance the rate of post-exercise net muscle protein synthesis and decrease muscle protein breakdown following resistance exercise⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾. Consequently, the combination of prolonged resistance exercise and post-exercise protein supplementation should increase fat-free mass (FFM) and/or muscle strength in randomised

controlled trials (RCT). However, although several well-controlled studies have shown an increase in strength or FFM, a comparable number of investigations have yielded negative results^(8,9). In a most recent meta-analysis, Cermak *et al.*⁽¹¹⁾ included twenty-two RCT that have investigated the effect of resistance exercise and protein supplementation on FFM and muscle strength in both young and older subjects. Their analyses showed that protein supplementation increases FFM and strength to a significantly higher level than placebo and that this effect of dietary protein was evident in both younger and older subjects. In most of these RCT, the proteins administered were whey, milk, soya or casein; in some studies, a mixture of different essential amino acids was administered.

In the present study, we investigated the effect of post-exercise protein supplementation with collagen peptides on

Abbreviations: FFM, fat-free mass; FM, fat mass; PG, placebo group; RCT, randomised controlled trial; TG, treatment group.

* **Corresponding author:** Dr D. König, email Daniel.Koenig@uniklinik-freiburg.de

muscle mass and muscle function during a 3-month resistance training programme. Collagen is an extracellular protein that accounts for 25–30% of the total protein content within the human body. The process of hydrolysis yields collagen peptides that are designated as foodstuff. The peptides are rapidly resorbed in the small intestine, which may be important for post-exercise recovery, although the existence of the post-exercise metabolic window has recently been challenged⁽¹²⁾. Moreover, collagen peptides are absorbed in intact form to some extent, up to 10 kDa^(12–15).

It is generally believed that the protein applied should be high in branched chain amino acids (BCAA), particularly leucine, which is known to activate several intracellular signal transduction pathways involved in initiating translation such as the mTOR signalling pathway^(16,17).

Table 1. Amino acid composition of the collagen peptides

Amino acid	Weight (%)	Mol (%)
Hydroxyproline	11.3	9.6
Aspartic acid	5.8	4.8
Serine	3.2	3.4
Glutamic acid	10.1	7.5
Glycine	22.1	32.3
Histidine	1.2	0.8
Arginine	7.8	5.0
Threonine	1.8	1.7
Alanine	8.5	10.5
Proline	12.3	11.8
Tyrosine	0.9	0.5
Hydroxylysine	1.7	1.2
Valine	2.4	2.3
Methionine	0.9	0.9
Lysine	3.8	2.9
Isoleucine	1.3	1.1
Leucine	2.7	2.3
Phenylalanine	2.1	1.4

Collagen is generally regarded as having a relatively low biological value, mainly due to the low amount of BCAA and lysine (Table 1). Nevertheless, the mixture of amino acids has been shown to be superior compared with whey protein in maintaining N balance and body weight during a low-protein diet⁽¹⁸⁾. In addition, collagen contains relatively high amounts of arginine and glycine, both known to be important substrates for the synthesis of creatine in the human body⁽¹⁹⁾.

Although hydrolysed collagen is contained in sports drinks and bars aimed at improving regeneration and post-exercise muscle recovery, to our knowledge, no controlled study has thus far investigated the effect of collagen peptide supplementation on FFM, muscle strength and motor control. We investigated the respective effects in combination with resistance training in a randomised placebo-controlled design in fifty-three elderly men with sarcopenia class I and II.

Methods

Subjects

A total of 148 subjects (Fig. 1) answered an advertisement in a local newspaper in which healthy men, aged > 65 years, who experienced a considerable loss in muscular strength or physical performance within the last 3–4 years, were sought. Subjects needed to be able to participate in the 3-month resistance training and be free of acute diseases or illness-related cachexia.

After a telephone interview, forty-two subjects already met the exclusion criteria. These subjects had chronic illnesses (liver, kidney, cancer without recurrence for 5 years, CVD, advanced arthrosis) or other diseases that made participation in the exercise programme impossible; 106 persons were then invited to attend our outpatient ward for further examinations. From these 106 subjects, again forty-six of them met the exclusion criteria,




Fig. 1. Flowchart of subject recruitment and dropouts before and during the study. TG, treatment group; PG, placebo group.

mainly because sarcopenia was absent or because the medical examination yielded further contraindications to participate in the resistance training programme. The presence of sarcopenia was screened using a handheld dynamometer (Trailite; LiteExpress GmbH). According to the European working group on sarcopenia in older people, handgrip strength (<32 kg) is well suited for detecting low muscle strength⁽²⁰⁾. The presence of sarcopenia was then assured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) measurement of muscle mass. The diagnosis and classification of sarcopenia were established by the loss of muscle mass and muscle function according to current guidelines^(20–22). Sarcopenia class I was diagnosed if DXA muscle mass was 1–2 sd lower than the sex-specific mean for young adults, and sarcopenia class II was diagnosed if the muscle mass was lower than 2 sd.

Among all, sixty subjects gave their written informed consent after being informed about the nature and the possible risks of the investigation. All the subjects completed a comprehensive medical examination and routine blood testing (ESR, haemogram, creatinine, creatine kinase, urea, ALT, AST) to exclude other chronic diseases.

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Freiburg.

Study design

The participants of the study were randomly assigned to the treatment group (TG) (collagen peptide supplementation) or to the placebo group (PG). Randomisation was performed using a random number generator⁽²¹⁾. Blinding of investigators and participants was not lifted until all the data were entered, the data set was secured and the statistical analysis was performed.

The primary outcome measure was the change in FFM before and after the intervention, which lasted for 12 weeks.

Compliance was checked by collecting unused supplements. In addition, subjects were asked to keep daily records about the timing of ingestion, side-effects or other problems related to the training programme or the supplements. In addition, blood samples were collected at the beginning and at the end of the study to evaluate the safety of the product and to verify adverse reactions.

Protein supplementation

The subjects assigned to the TG (n 30) were given 15 g of collagen peptides/d. The test product with a mean molecular weight of approximately 3 kDa is derived from a complex multi-step procedure by the degradation of type I collagen. The product was provided by GELITA AG (BODYBALANCE™). The amino acid composition of the collagen peptides is shown in Table 1.

Subjects in the PG (n 30) received silicon dioxide (Sipernat 350; Evonik). Silicon dioxide (silica) was chosen because it is a safe food additive and is absorbed in negligible amounts by the intestine. Therefore, silicon dioxide induces no metabolic effects in contrast to, for example, carbohydrates applied in some of the previous studies in this field.

Collagen peptides as well as placebo were given in powder form and were dissolved by the participants in 250 ml water.

Subjects were instructed to drink the solution as soon as possible following each training session but not later than 1 h after training. During the first hour after training, no other food was allowed, except for water to compensate for sweat loss. Subjects also ingested collagen hydrolysate/placebo on the days without training; they were requested that the time point when they drank the solution without previous training should not differ from the days with training.

Exercise intervention programme

The resistance training was carried out at the University of Freiburg and consisted of a 12-week guided training programme on fitness devices (pull down, leg press, bench press, back press, etc.) involving all larger muscle groups. Subjects took part in the resistance training programme in the afternoon three times a week over a time period of 60 min. Individual adaptations of the training protocol were regularly made as a function of the actual performance. The intensity was based on the number of possible repetitions (week 1–4: fifteen repetitions, week 5–9: ten repetitions, week 10–12: eight repetitions; 4 s/repetition). Subjects were excluded from the study if they missed >10% of the training sessions.

Methods

Body composition was measured before and after the 3-month training period using DXA (Stratos DR 2D Fan Beam; Degen Medizintechnik).

Muscular strength was tested by measuring isokinetic quadriceps strength of the right leg before and after the training programme (Con-Trex) and sensory motor control (SMC) was determined using a standardised one-leg stabilisation test (Posturomed; Haider-Bioswing) as described previously⁽²²⁾.

Dietary intake

Dietary intake was evaluated before and at the end of the study using 4 days' nutritional protocols. Subjects were asked to fill out the protocols using household measurements. The protocols were analysed using PRODI 6.0 (Prodi).

Statistical methods

All the data in the tables are presented as means and standard deviations and as means with their standard errors in the bar charts. Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Software (SPSS for Windows, version 20.0.1). Normality of all the variables was tested before statistical evaluation using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. All the variables were normally distributed. Baseline differences were tested using the unpaired samples t test. Testing for changes between examination at baseline and following the 3-month intervention within groups were performed using the paired samples t test. Testing for changes between groups following the intervention (collagen peptide group = TG *v.* PG) was carried out using two-way repeated-measures ANOVA for

continuous variables. The factors were TG (collagen hydrolysate/ placebo) and time (levels were pre- and post-intervention).

The strength of relationships was analysed using Pearson's linear correlation coefficient *r*. A *P* value of 0.05 or less was considered to indicate statistical significance. Based on previous studies, we expected an increase in FFM (primary outcome measure) by 2 kg with a 2.5 sd⁽²³⁾. With an α of 0.05 and a power of 0.80, a number of twenty-five subjects in each group was considered appropriate. Considering a dropout rate from 20%, we chose a number of thirty subjects in each group.

Results

Subjects

A total of fifty-three men with a mean age of 72.2 (SD 4.68) years completed the study (twenty-six men in the TG and twenty-seven men in the PG). Age did not differ significantly between the completers in both groups (TG = 72.3 (SD 3.7) years and PG = 72.1 (SD 5.53) years).

All seven dropouts were related to incompliance with the study design and training protocol. Excluded participants predominantly had missed >10% of the training sessions due to various reasons. No dropout was related to side-effects of the administered collagen peptide supplement or placebo. No serious adverse events were noted and, especially, no pathological findings could be observed in the routine blood tests.

Based on the results of the handgrip test and the DXA measurements⁽²⁴⁾, twenty-one subjects of the total study population were categorised as having class I sarcopenia and thirty-two as having class II sarcopenia. Again, data were balanced at baseline with no statistically significant differences between the TG and the PG, regarding classification of sarcopenia (TG = eleven class I and fifteen class II; PG = ten class I and seventeen class II).

Body composition and muscle strength

In both the groups, a statistically significant (*P* < 0.001) increase in FFM and a significant loss in fat mass (FM) (*P* < 0.001) could be observed after 3 months (Table 2). Moreover, muscle strength and SMC improved significantly (*P* < 0.001) in both the groups. Moreover, data for bone mass (BM) revealed a statistically significant (*P* < 0.001) increase in both the groups at the end of the study.

Fig. 2 demonstrates that the observed increase in FFM of 2.90 (SEM 1.84) kg in the PG was more pronounced after supplementation with 15 g collagen peptides (+4.22 (SEM 2.31) kg). The observed group difference was statistically significant (*P* < 0.05). In addition, the decrease in FM in the collagen peptide-supplemented group (-5.45 (SEM 3.17) kg) was more pronounced (*P* < 0.05) compared with the PG (-3.51 (SEM 2.16) kg). Although the difference was not significant, baseline characteristics showed that subjects in the PG weighed less and had relatively more FFM and less FM compared with subjects in the collagen-supplemented group. In both the groups, the loss of FM correlated with an increase in FFM; in the collagen-supplemented group, the correlation coefficient (*r* 0.72; *P* < 0.001) was more pronounced than in the control group (*r* 0.55; *P* < 0.003) (Figs 3 and 4).

Muscle strength was increased in both the study groups after 12 weeks, but again the effect in the collagen peptide group (16.12 (SEM 12.9) Nm) was more distinct than in the PG (+7.38 (SEM 13.2) Nm), demonstrating a statistically significant difference (*P* < 0.05) (Fig. 5). SMC was not significantly different from that of the PG (Fig. 5).

BM was significantly (*P* < 0.001) increased during the course of the intervention in both the groups. The difference between the groups after the intervention did not reach significance.

The analysis of the nutritional protocols revealed that the subjects consumed a typical western diet and that they were not protein deficient (protein 16.4 (SEM 4.2) % (0.91 g/kg

Table 2. Body composition, muscle strength and sensory motor control in the subjects before and after supplementation with collagen hydrolysate or placebo (Mean values and standard deviations)

	Treatment group (n 26)				Placebo group (n 27)				Significance between groups in RM ANOVA testing assessing (treatment × time) interaction (<i>P</i>)
	Baseline examination		Final examination		Baseline examination		Final examination		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Weight (kg)	88.2†	12.1	87.3	11.9	83.1	14.8	82.8	14.5	NS
Fat-free mass (%)	64.7†	4.26	70.31***	4.8	66.8	4.77	70.4***	4.94	<0.05
Fat mass (%)	31.63†	4.58	25.67***	5.22	29.5	5.53	25.4***	5.55	<0.05
Bone mass (%)	3.6†	0.47	4.02***	0.59	3.81	0.61	4.18***	0.71	NS
Fat-free mass (kg)	56.9†	6.68	61.1***	6.88	54.9	6.96	57.8***	7.46	<0.05
Fat mass (kg)	28.1†	7.09	22.7***	7.08	25.1	8.69	21.6***	8.15	<0.05
Bone mass (kg)	3.14†	0.36	3.46***	0.38	3.1	0.36	3.34***	0.43	NS
Power (knee extension) (Nm)	123†	27.3	140***	28.3	132	27	139*	27.4	<0.05
Sensory motor control (mm)	1205†	852	477***	228	1374	639	516***	24	NS

RM, repeated measurements; mm, length of path on posturometer.
 * *P* < 0.05 within the group from baseline to final examination; *** *P* < 0.001 within the group from baseline to final examination.
 † No significant difference at baseline between treatment group and placebo group.




Fig. 2. Change in fat-free mass and fat mass after 12 weeks of resistance training in elderly men (age > 65 years) with collagen peptide supplementation (treatment group, *n* 26; □) or placebo (placebo group, *n* 27; ■). Values are means, with their standard errors represented by vertical bars. Significance was tested by ANOVA considering time × treatment interactions. * Mean value was significantly different from that of the placebo group (*P* < 0.05).




Fig. 3. Correlation (Pearson's *r*) between fat-free mass and fat mass changes after a 12 weeks of resistance training in elderly men (age > 65 years, *n* 26) in combination with a daily dosage of 15 g collagen peptides (*r* 0.72; *P* < 0.001).

body weight), fat 33.23 (SEM 7.1)% and carbohydrates 43.8 (SEM 8.7)%. Total energy intake was 7757.1 kJ/d (1854 kcal/d), which is in the lower reference level for this age group; however, underreporting cannot be ruled out in these subjects aiming at improving their body composition. There were no significant differences between the dietary intake before and after the intervention period. Dietary intake did not differ between the groups.

Discussion

The main finding of the present study is that collagen peptides further increased the benefits of the 3-month resistance training

in older subjects with sarcopenia. Compared with placebo, subjects in the collagen-supplemented group showed a higher increase in FFM and muscle strength as well as a higher reduction in FM.

The results of the current study are in accordance with previous investigations, showing that resistance exercise improves strength, FFM, co-ordination as well as postural control in the ageing population⁽²⁵⁾.

However, there is a controversial discussion as to whether the anabolic effect of resistance exercise can be further enhanced by protein supplementation, particularly in the elderly^(26,27). In experimental settings, it has been clearly demonstrated that the ingestion of dietary protein following




Fig. 4. Correlation (Pearson's r) between fat-free mass and fat mass changes after a 12 weeks of resistance training in elderly men (age > 65 years, n 26) in the placebo group (r 0.55; P < 0.003).




Fig. 5. Changes in strength output and motor control after 12 weeks of resistance training referred to baseline in elderly men (age > 65 years) with collagen peptide supplementation (treatment group (TG), n 26) or placebo (placebo group (PG), n 27). Values are means with their standard error of means. Significance tested by ANOVA considering time \times treatment interactions. ■, PG; □, TG.

resistance exercise stimulates muscle protein synthesis rates in the post-exercise period^(28,29). Nevertheless, the findings of RCT investigating this combined effect over a longer period of time have yielded controversial results. Although a considerable amount of well-controlled studies have reported an increase in FFM and muscle strength following the combination of resistance exercise with protein supplementation, other investigators could not confirm a synergistic effect^(8,9). A meta-analysis by Cermak *et al.*⁽¹¹⁾ analysed the combined effect of protein and resistance exercise in both younger and older subjects by pooling twenty-two RCT. The data indicate that protein supplementation increases the gains in FFM and muscular strength in both young and elder subjects⁽¹¹⁾. Moreover, a most

recent review supports the efficacy of nutritional supplementation in the treatment of sarcopenia⁽³⁰⁾.

In the present investigation, the increase in FFM and muscle strength and the decrease in FM seemed to be more pronounced compared with previous reports⁽¹¹⁾. This might be explained by the fact that the training was very extensive. It was designed and supervised by renowned experts in motor control and resistance training⁽⁷⁾. In addition, the workload was individually adapted throughout the study, and the main goal of the training procedure was the induction of muscular hypertrophy. Moreover, the participants of the present study were not suffering from severe sarcopenia with no signs of frailty or cachexia. Nevertheless, no significant correlation between the degree of

sarcopenia and the individual response to supplementation and training in any of the parameters in the subjects investigated could be observed. All the subjects were living independently with a normal dietary pattern, and the recorded nutritional protocols revealed adequate protein intake. Nevertheless, the subjects did not perform physical exercise, particularly resistance exercise, on a regular basis (<1 h/week). This could further explain why the anabolic stimulus of resistance training induced such a positive effect in this non-frail population.

Other investigations with comparable study designs were not able to observe an efficacy of protein supplementation in combination with resistance exercise on body composition and muscle strength in the elderly^(31–33). Beside factors such as age, health, nutrition status of the subjects and design of the training programme, the type of dietary protein intake could also play a role. In a previous study, we could demonstrate that soya protein together with a resistance training programme, comparable with the one applied in the present investigation, increased muscle mass to a higher extent than in the control group without protein supplementation⁽³⁴⁾.

The effects of collagen peptides on body composition and muscular power output have not been investigated previously. Thus far, studies have mainly focused on the effects of collagen peptides on skin health and degenerative joint diseases such as osteoarthritis^(13,14,35–40). The impact on body composition has not been in the focus, as it is generally believed that the relatively low biological value of collagen would not favour a significant improvement on muscular net protein synthesis. The results of the present investigation do not support this assumption, and the following findings could contribute to further explain the increase in FFM and strength following collagen peptides supplementation: it has been shown that collagen peptide intake was superior to whey protein in maintaining N balance and body weight during a low-protein diet⁽¹⁸⁾. Although collagen has a low protein digestibility corrected amino acid score, its N content may be higher compared with whey on a per gram basis due to a high proportion of amino acids having low molecular weight or containing more than one N atom.

Furthermore, it has been speculated that the timing of protein supplementation as well as the absorption kinetic of the administered protein can have an influence on the efficacy⁽⁴¹⁾. Some studies revealed that a fast digestion and rapid absorption kinetic could influence the enhancement of muscle hypertrophy by proteins. It has been proposed that the anabolic window for optimal post-exercise anabolic effects begins to close after 90–120 min^(42,43). In the present study, collagen peptides were ingested within 60 min after training. Therefore, it could be possible that the short post-exercise interval and the rapid digestibility and absorption of collagen peptides following supplementation^(15,44) may have supported the post-exercise muscle protein anabolism. It has to be critically remarked that a most recent meta-analysis did not support the hypothesis of this anabolic window theory⁽³⁰⁾. Therefore, further research in this area including collagen peptides is necessary.

Another rather speculative explanation for the observed effects could be that collagen is rich in arginine and glycine, both known to be important substrates for the synthesis of creatine in the human body. Creatine supplementation has

been shown to improve both muscle mass and muscular function in some but not all studies⁽⁴⁵⁾. In the recent years, evidence supporting the theory suggesting that creatine supplementation may also play a role in reducing sarcopenia in aged subjects is increasing⁽⁴⁶⁾. Therefore, it would be interesting to determine the amount of creatine in the muscle cells following collagen peptide supplementation in future studies.

In addition, Timmerman & Volpi⁽⁴⁷⁾ discussed the positive effect of an increased microvascular perfusion, and thus increased amino acid delivery, on enhanced anabolic responses after protein supplementation. Collagen peptides have shown to positively influence microcirculation^(48,49); therefore, this might cause an additional beneficial effect in promoting muscle growth compared with other protein sources.

Finally, several investigations have shown that collagen peptides significantly reduce pain in subjects with osteoarthritis as well as functional joint pain^(13,50). Therefore, it could be speculated that the subjects who were supplemented with collagen peptides were able to perform the resistance exercises with less pain, and therefore had a better training gain.

The reason for the higher increase in muscular strength may also be related to one of the above-mentioned factors or simply goes along with the higher amount of muscle mass. The existence of a specific effect on muscular recruitment cannot be assessed on the basis of the design of the study.

Nevertheless, the study has several limitations. The statistical analysis was a completers' analysis and not an intention-to-treat analysis. We decided to choose this approach as the dropout causes were not in direct relationship with the intervention protocol and the subjects dropped out before the final examination.

Furthermore, we chose a placebo that did not deliver any extra calories. It could be speculated that the additional amount of energy provided by the collagen peptides may be responsible for the respective effects. However, to our knowledge, there are no data showing that additional calories – for example, by carbohydrates – would favour muscle hypertrophy. Therefore, we do not think that it was simply the lower amount of calories in the control group that accounts for the differences observed. Finally, the randomisation yielded two groups with baseline differences in FM and FFM; although the difference was not statistically significant, an influence of these baseline differences on the respective results cannot be ruled out completely.

In conclusion, the findings of the present study have confirmed previous results that 60 min of resistance exercise, performed three times per week, is well suited to significantly increase muscle mass, muscular strength and motor control in subjects with sarcopenia class I or II. Moreover, the study has demonstrated that the combination of resistance exercise and collagen peptide supplementation resulted in a more pronounced improvement of body composition, as indicated by a significant increase in muscle mass and decrease in FM, compared with placebo. In addition, muscular strength was significantly improved after collagen peptide intake compared with the training programme plus placebo.

Further studies should investigate the effect of combined resistance training and collagen peptide intake in other study populations, including sex and different age groups and should focus on the mode of action as well as on the required dosage.



Acknowledgements

The authors thank the whole team of the Mooswaldklinik in Freiburg for the realisation of the training programme and the energy they have put into this project.

Part of the costs were paid by Gelita AG, Uferstraße 7, Eberbach, Germany.

D. K. was the principal investigator of the study. S. O. and M. W. B. were involved in the design and execution of the study and performed the statistical analysis. All the authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. The planning, organisation, monitoring and analysis of the study were performed independently by the investigators.

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Wang C & Bai L (2012) Sarcopenia in the elderly: basic and clinical issues. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* **12**, 388–396.
- Walston JD (2012) Sarcopenia in older adults. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* **24**, 623–627.
- Ruiz M, Cefalu C & Reske T (2012) Frailty syndrome in geriatric medicine. *Am J Med Sci* **344**, 395–398.
- Malafarina V, Uriz-Otano F, Iniesta R, *et al.* (2012) Sarcopenia in the elderly: diagnosis, physiopathology and treatment. *Maturitas* **71**, 109–114.
- Pillard F, Laoudj-Chenivesse D, Carnac G, *et al.* (2011) Physical activity and sarcopenia. *Clin Geriatr Med* **27**, 449–470.
- Peterson MD & Gordon PM (2011) Resistance exercise for the aging adult: clinical implications and prescription guidelines. *Am J Med* **124**, 194–198.
- Mayer F, Gollhofer A & Berg A (2003) Krafttraining mit Älteren und chronisch Kranken (Resistance training in elderly or chronically ill subjects). *Deutsch Z Sportmed* **54**, 88–94.
- Candow DG, Forbes SC, Little JP, *et al.* (2012) Effect of nutritional interventions and resistance exercise on aging muscle mass and strength. *Biogerontology* **13**, 345–358.
- Phillips SM, Tang JE & Moore DR (2009) The role of milk- and soy-based protein in support of muscle protein synthesis and muscle protein accretion in young and elderly persons. *J Am Coll Nutr* **28**, 343–354.
- Walker DK, Dickinson JM, Timmerman KL, *et al.* (2011) Exercise, amino acids, and aging in the control of human muscle protein synthesis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **43**, 2249–2258.
- Cermak NM, Res PT, de Groot LC, *et al.* (2012) Protein supplementation augments the adaptive response of skeletal muscle to resistance-type exercise training: a meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* **96**, 1454–1464.
- Ohara H, Matsumoto H, Ito K, *et al.* (2007) Comparison of quantity and structures of hydroxyproline-containing peptides in human blood after oral ingestion of gelatin hydrolysates from different sources. *J Agric Food Chem* **55**, 1532–1535.
- Bello AE & Oesser S (2006) Collagen hydrolysate for the treatment of osteoarthritis and other joint disorders: a review of the literature. *Curr Med Res Opin* **22**, 2221–2232.
- Benito-Ruiz P, Camacho-Zambrano MM, Carrillo-Arcentales JN, *et al.* (2009) A randomized controlled trial on the efficacy and safety of a food ingredient, collagen hydrolysate, for improving joint comfort. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* **60**, Suppl. 2, 99–113.
- Oesser S, Adam M, Babel W, *et al.* (1999) Oral administration of (14)C labeled gelatin hydrolysate leads to an accumulation of radioactivity in cartilage of mice (C57/BL). *J Nutr* **129**, 1891–1895.
- Leenders M & van Loon IJ (2011) Leucine as a pharmacutrient to prevent and treat sarcopenia and type 2 diabetes. *Nutr Rev* **69**, 675–689.
- Morley JE, Argiles JM, Evans WJ, *et al.* (2010) Nutritional recommendations for the management of sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* **11**, 391–396.
- Hays NP, Kim H, Wells AM, *et al.* (2009) Effects of whey and fortified collagen hydrolysate protein supplements on nitrogen balance and body composition in older women. *J Am Diet Assoc* **109**, 1082–1087.
- Brosnan JT & Brosnan ME (2007) Creatine: endogenous metabolite, dietary, and therapeutic supplement. *Annu Rev Nutr* **27**, 241–261.
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, *et al.* (2010) Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age Ageing* **39**, 412–423.
- Urbaniak GC & Plous S (2011) Research randomizer (version 3.0). www.randomizer.org
- Boeer J, Mueller O, Krauss I, *et al.* (2010) Effects of a sensory-motor exercise program for older adults with osteoarthritis or prosthesis of the hip using measurements made by the Posturomed oscillatory platform. *J Geriatr Phys Ther* **33**, 10–15.
- Miller PE, Alexander DD & Perez V (2014) Effects of whey protein and resistance exercise on body composition: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Coll Nutr* **33**, 163–175.
- Werle S, Goldhahn J, Drerup S, *et al.* (2009) Age- and gender-specific normative data of grip and pinch strength in a healthy adult Swiss population. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol* **34**, 76–84.
- Liu CJ & Latham NK (2009) Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in older adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **Issue 3**, CD002759.
- Evans WJ (2004) Protein nutrition, exercise and aging. *J Am Coll Nutr* **23**, 601S–609S.
- Paddon-Jones D, Short KR, Campbell WW, *et al.* (2008) Role of dietary protein in the sarcopenia of aging. *Am J Clin Nutr* **87**, 1562S–1566S.
- Beelen M, Koopman R, Gijsen AP, *et al.* (2008) Protein coingestion stimulates muscle protein synthesis during resistance-type exercise. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* **295**, E70–E77.
- Koopman R, Saris WH, Wagenmakers AJ, *et al.* (2007) Nutritional interventions to promote post-exercise muscle protein synthesis. *Sports Med* **37**, 895–906.
- Malafarina V, Uriz-Otano F, Iniesta R, *et al.* (2013) Effectiveness of nutritional supplementation on muscle mass in treatment of sarcopenia in old age: a systematic review. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* **14**, 10–17.
- Leenders M, Verdijk LB, van der HL, *et al.* (2013) Protein supplementation during resistance-type exercise training in the elderly. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **45**, 542–552.
- Chale A, Cloutier GJ, Hau C, *et al.* (2013) Efficacy of whey protein supplementation on resistance exercise-induced changes in lean mass, muscle strength, and physical function in mobility-limited older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* **68**, 682–690.
- Verdijk LB, Jonkers RA, Gleeson BG, *et al.* (2009) Protein supplementation before and after exercise does not further augment skeletal muscle hypertrophy after resistance training in elderly men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **89**, 608–616.
- Deibert P, Solleder F, Konig D, *et al.* (2011) Soy protein based supplementation supports metabolic effects of resistance training in previously untrained middle aged males. *Aging Male* **14**, 273–279.
- McAlindon TE, Nuite M, Krishnan N, *et al.* (2011) Change in knee osteoarthritis cartilage detected by delayed gadolinium

- enhanced magnetic resonance imaging following treatment with collagen hydrolysate: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* **19**, 399–405.
36. Proksch E, Segger D, Degwert J, *et al.* (2014) Oral supplementation of specific collagen peptides has beneficial effects on human skin physiology: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Skin Pharmacol Physiol* **27**, 47–55.
 37. Proksch E, Schunck M, Zague V, *et al.* (2014) Oral intake of specific bioactive collagen peptides reduces skin wrinkles and increases dermal matrix synthesis. *Skin Pharmacol Physiol* **27**, 113–119.
 38. Oesser S & Seifert J (2003) Stimulation of type II collagen biosynthesis and secretion in bovine chondrocytes cultured with degraded collagen. *Cell Tissue Res* **311**, 393–399.
 39. Kim HK, Kim MG & Leem KH (2013) Osteogenic activity of collagen peptide via ERK/MAPK pathway mediated boosting of collagen synthesis and its therapeutic efficacy in osteoporotic bone by back-scattered electron imaging and microarchitecture analysis. *Molecules* **18**, 15474–15489.
 40. Adam M, Spacek P & Hulejova H (2002) What is the effect of collagen peptides peroral administration in postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Ces Reumatol* **10**, 131–137.
 41. Pennings B, Boirie Y, Senden JMG, *et al.* (2011) Whey protein stimulates postprandial muscle protein accretion more effectively than do casein and casein hydrolysate in older men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **93**, 997–1005.
 42. Fielding RA & Parkington J (2002) What are the dietary protein requirements of physically active individuals? New evidence on the effects of exercise on protein utilization during post-exercise recovery. *Nutr Clin Care* **5**, 191–196.
 43. Kerksick C, Harvey T, Stout J, *et al.* (2008) International Society of Sports Nutrition position stand: nutrient timing. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr* **5**, 17.
 44. Ichikawa S, Morifuji M, Ohara H, *et al.* (2009) Hydroxyproline-containing dipeptides and tripeptides quantified at high concentration in human blood after oral administration of gelatin hydrolysate. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* **61**, 1–9.
 45. Antonio J & Ciccone V (2013) The effects of pre versus post workout supplementation of creatine monohydrate on body composition and strength. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr* **10**, 36.
 46. Candow DG (2011) Sarcopenia: current theories and the potential beneficial effect of creatine application strategies. *Biogerontology* **12**, 273–281.
 47. Timmerman KL & Volpi E (2013) Endothelial function and the regulation of muscle protein anabolism in older adults. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **23**, Suppl. 1, S44–S50.
 48. Nonaka I, Katsuda S, Ohmori T, *et al.* (1997) In vitro and in vivo anti-platelet effects of enzymatic hydrolysates of collagen and collagen-related peptides. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* **61**, 772–775.
 49. Kouguchi T, Ohmori T, Shimizu M, *et al.* (2013) Effects of a chicken collagen hydrolysate on the circulation system in subjects with mild hypertension or high-normal blood pressure. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* **77**, 691–696.
 50. Moskowitz RW (2000) Role of collagen hydrolysate in bone and joint disease. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* **30**, 87–99.